

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G01N 33/12	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/14777 (43) International Publication Date: 9 April 1998 (09.04.98)
--	-----------	---

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US97/17160
(22) International Filing Date: 24 September 1997 (24.09.97)

(30) Priority Data:
60/027,412 30 September 1996 (30.09.96) US
08/758,205 26 November 1996 (26.11.96) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): CALIFORNIA
SOUTH PACIFIC INVESTORS [US/US]; Suite 645, 3452
East Foothill Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91107 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): GOLDSMITH, Robert, M.
[US/US]; 1135 South Orange Grove Boulevard, Pasadena,
CA 91105 (US). GOLDSMITH, Catherine, H. [US/US];
1135 South Orange Grove Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91105
(US). WOODAMAN, James, G. [US/US]; 1512 Altadena
Drive, Pasadena, CA 91107 (US).

(74) Agents: WISE, Michael, J. et al.; Lyon & Lyon LLP, Suite
4700, 633 West Fifth Street, Los Angeles, CA 90071-2066
(US).

(81) Designated States: AU, CA, FI, GB, JP, NO, NZ, US,
European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB,
GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).

Published


With international search report.

*Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the
claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of
amendments.*

(54) Title: DETECTION OF CONTAMINANTS IN FOOD

(57) Abstract

A food contamination detector (10). The food
contamination detector (10) comprises an indicator (16)
bound to a substrate (20), wherein the indicator is in
communication with juices from the food to be tested for
the presence of a toxin.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia			SG	Singapore		

DESCRIPTIONDetection Of Contaminants In FoodField of the Invention

The present invention relates to detection of the presence of toxic contaminants in food.

Background of the Invention

5 Over the past several years there has been increasing concern over the safety of our food supply. Contamination of food can come from a variety of sources and the type of contamination possible is often dependent on the food involved.

10 Most animal derived food products, such as raw meat, are exposed to the possibility of contamination before, during or after processing. Such contamination comes from, for example, contact with faecal matter at the slaughter house, from handlers of the food products at any
15 stage of the processing of the food products or from toxins, both naturally occurring and man-made, present in the environment where the food was grown or processed. In most cases, contamination is minor and, if the food is prepared properly, is not a serious threat to the
20 consumer. However, while the contamination of food is generally low, i.e. few bacteria per gram of the food, if the food is not stored under satisfactory conditions or stored for long periods of time, contaminants, such as bacteria, grow to become a serious threat to the eventual
25 consumer of the products. Even if the food products reach the market in an acceptable condition, subsequent treatment by the consumer may lead to the development of serious contamination of the food.

A number of incidents and factors have lead to the
30 growing concern over the food supply. These include:

raw chicken and egg products have been found to be contaminated with *Salmonella* and inadequate

cooking of such products has led to serious illness or death of persons who have consumed the contaminated products;

5 inadequately pasteurized milk products have been found to be contaminated with *Listeria* which has lead to serious illness or death of consumers of the products;

10 a highly toxic strain of *E. coli* has lead to the death of several people who consumed prepared beef products which had been inadequately cooked;

15 a number of toxins are known, such as ciguatoxins, which contaminate fish. These toxins are not inactivated or destroyed by cooking and so their presence in fish is a threat to any consumer of the product;

shell fish, such as oysters, concentrate any contaminants present in the water in which they grow and, since they are frequently eaten raw, pose a threat to the health of consumers; and

20 fish is increasingly eaten raw which adds to the possibility of increased outbreak of illness from water borne contaminants.

The only means the consumer has of determining if the food they purchase is contaminated is by visual inspection and by smell. These are usually inadequate to detect contamination.

25 There is a need for a reliable way to detect if a food product purchased by a consumer is fit for consumption. Any solution to this problem should be relatively inexpensive and able to detect a number of agents capable of causing illness. It should also be simple to "read" so that a consumer, who does not have access to sophisticated testing equipment or specialized knowledge, can readily determine if the products they have
35 purchased are free from contamination.

Summary of the Invention

The present invention relates to a food contamination detector. The food contamination detector comprises an indicator bound to a substrate. The indicator is in communication with juices from food which are to be tested for the presence of a toxin.

A means for changing the color of the indicator when the toxin is present in the juices from the food is provided to indicate that the food is contaminated. In one embodiment of the invention the means for changing the color comprises a labeled antibody which dissociates from the substrate in the presence of a toxin. In another embodiment the means for changing color comprises a labeled antibody which binds to the substrate in the presence of a toxin. In another embodiment the change in color results in a change in a bar code.

Brief Description of the Drawings

These and other features and advantages of the present invention will be better understood by reference to the following detailed description when considered in conjunction with the accompanying drawings in which:

FIG. 1 is a top view of a packaged food product;

FIG. 2 is a bottom view of the packaged food product with a bar code detector system;

FIG. 3 is a side sectional view of the packaged food product showing the bar code detector system in the package;

FIG. 4 is one embodiment of the bar code detector system of the present invention, prior to attachment to a food package;

FIG. 5 is a schematic diagram of a bar code reader for use in the present invention;

FIG. 6 is a side sectional view of another embodiment of the bar code detector system in a package tray without food;

FIG. 6A is an enlarged view of part of FIG. 6;

FIG. 7 is a perspective view of the bottom of the liner of FIG. 6 with one component of the bar code attached;

FIG. 8 is a front view of the component shown in FIG. 7;

FIG. 9 is a front view of another component of the bar code detector system of FIG. 6;

FIG. 10A is a front view of the components of FIGS. 8 and 9 as they appear from the outside of the food package in the absence of contamination;

FIG. 10B is a front view of the components of FIGS. 8 and 9 as they appear from the outside of the food package in the presence of contamination;

FIG. 11 is a side sectional view of another embodiment of a bar code detector system in a package tray without food;

FIG. 12 is a perspective view of a liner for use in a variation of the bar code detector system of FIG. 11;

FIG. 13 is a perspective view of a carcass indicator strip incorporating principle of the invention prior to reaction with toxins; and

FIG. 14 is a perspective view of the carcass indicator strip of FIG. 13 after reaction with toxins.

FIG. 15 shows a two bar code detector system which has not detected food contamination.

FIG. 16 shows a two bar code detector system which has detected food contamination.

Detailed Description of the Specific Embodiments

The present invention uses an indicator which may be in the form of words, symbols, a bar code, or part of a bar code that identifies the product at point of purchase, sale, or distribution as a detector system for toxins and other contaminants that may be present in food products. As used herein toxin means chemicals or pathogenic organisms which may be transferred from food to the consumers of the food, or other agents which may be toxic

or result in illness in the consumer of the contaminated food products.

The invention is described in the context of bar codes because this is currently the prevalent way to
5 identify food products, including information about product type, quantity, price, unit price, and origin in a machine readable manner. The invention is applicable, however, to other product identifying systems, machine readable and/or readable to a human. When the term
10 "visible" is used herein, it means visible or readable by a bar code reader or other scanning apparatus.

The same reference numbers are used throughout the drawings to identify similar parts or elements.

Food products are often "mass produced" and sold at
15 retail outlets, in prepackaged containers such as that illustrated in FIGs. 1-3. Typically, such packages include a styrofoam tray 10 which contains the food product 12; the tray and food are sealed in a transparent plastic wrap material 14 and a liner 15 lies between food
20 product 12 and the inside bottom of tray 10. A bar code 16 is used on the products for scanning at the check-out register (FIG. 5), to reduce errors in totaling purchases and for stock control. The bar code comprises a series or pattern of bars which represent a number, identifying the
25 product. In the practice of the present invention the product identifying system, e.g., the bar code, also serves the purpose of detecting toxins in the food products.

In the embodiment of FIGs. 1-3, a bar code 16 is
30 printed on a transparent membrane or substrate 20. One side of substrate 20 has a self-adhesive surface for attachment to the interior of tray 10 and the other side of substrate 20 has printed on it bar code 16. The bottom of styrofoam tray 10 has a rectangular hole 18. Hole 18
35 is covered by a window 21 formed by a transparent sheet of material such as MYLAR® (a trademark of DuPont) using a suitable adhesive to seal the MYLAR to the styrofoam

material around the edge of hole 18. Hole 18 and window 21 also serve as a collector 19 for liquids and juices from food product 12 so the latter can come into contact with bar code 16. Substrate 20 can be prepared with a peelable, disposable backing or protective release layer 22 (FIG. 4), which covers bar code 16 prior to its application to a package. At the site of packaging of food product 12, release layer 22 is peeled off and the adhesive side of substrate 20 is placed on the inside surface of window 21 so that bar code 16 faces the interior of the package and is exposed to the juices of food product 12. In conventional food packaging the entire liner is generally absorbent. In the practice of the invention, only a small portion 15 of the liner slightly larger than substrate 20 is absorbent; the remainder of the liner is preferably impervious to food juices so that most of the food juices are channeled to substrate 20. The absorbent portion 15a of liner 15 is positioned in tray 10 in alignment with substrate 20 to maximize exposure of substrate 20 to the food juices. Instead of making a portion of liner 15 absorbent, a hole could be punched out of liner 15 and substrate 20 could be attached by adhesive to liner 15 around the edges of the hole. As an alternative to the described embodiment, substrate 20 could also serve as the window, in which case it would be attached to, cover, and seal hole 18 in tray 10.

The bar code is formed by labeled antibodies bound to antigens. The labeled antibodies function as an "ink" and are "printed" in a bar code pattern on the transparent substrate 20. First, the antigens are bound to the entire surface of substrate 20 or the portion of its surface on which the bar code is to be placed. Then, the bar code is applied to the antigen coated surface of substrate 20 by a bar code printer, using the labeled antibody as the ink. Preferably, bar code 16 serves the normal product identifying function of a bar code, i.e., it represents

price, price per unit, type of product, origin, and quantity or weight information. As illustrated in FIG. 5, food packages carrying the bar code detector system 16 are passed under a bar code scanner or reader 24 mounted on a counter 25 at the point of sale to read the product information in the usual way. A store computer 26 processes this information to totalize the amount of purchase and to manage inventory. The bar code for use in the invention is prepared by irreversibly binding an antigenic determinant of toxins or contaminants of interest to the transparent substrate. The antigenic determinant may be a small portion of the toxin, which is specific for that toxin, it may be the toxin itself, an analog of the toxin or other compound which is capable of "mimicking" the toxin, or pathogenic microorganisms, all of which are referred to herein as "toxins." Substrates suitable for binding the toxin are well known in the art. If substrate 20 serves as window 21 it must be impervious to the food juices. Suitable substrates include substrates such as those made from activated hydrophobic polyvinylidene, polyvinylidene difluoride, mixed esters of cellulose nitrate and cellulose acetate, hydrophobic polyvinylidene difluoride, hydrophilic polyvinylidene difluoride, laminated and unlaminated polytetrafluoroethylene, microfiber glass, cellulose and polypropylene. Once toxins are bound to the substrate other binding sites, which remain on the substrate, are blocked by contacting them with an "inert" binding agent such as bovine serum albumin or other suitable blocking agent.

Once the toxin is bound to the substrate a labeled antibody, which exhibits a specificity for the toxin, also referred to herein as anti-toxin, is bound to the toxin. Antibodies suitable for use in the present invention include monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies. The preparation of such antibodies, specific for a desired toxin, are well known in the art. In some cases it may be necessary to conjugate the toxin to a protein to "mask"

the toxicity of the antigen. Otherwise injection of the toxic antigen may result in the death of the animal in which the antibodies are to be prepared. Methods of conjugating compounds are well known in the art and one such method is described by Hokama et al., *Mycotoxins and Phycotoxins 188*, A Collection of Invited Papers at the Seventh International IUPAC Symposium of Mycotoxins and Phycotoxins, Tokyo, Japan 1988, pp. 303-310 (Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam), which is incorporated herein by reference.

In one embodiment of the present invention the antibody is labeled with a colored latex bead. The preparation of antibodies labeled with colored latex beads is well known in the art. Such labeled antibodies may be prepared by diluting latex beads in a solution such as phosphate-buffered saline (8.1 mM Na_2HPO_4 , 1.5 mM KH_2PO_4 , 137 mM NaCl , 1.6 mM KCl) and mixing the solution gently to suspend and distribute the latex beads in the solution. Preferably, about a 10% (wt/v) suspension of latex beads is diluted about 1:100, to give a suspension of about 0.1% (wt/v) latex beads. An antibody solution is added to the latex bead suspension. Preferably, about 0.3 to about 0.6 mg of antibodies are added for each mg of latex beads, however, this ratio will vary depending on the specificity and sensitivity of the antibody preparation and the type of support being used. The amount of antibody to be used for the preparation of labeled antibodies is derived experimentally, using different dilutions of the antibody preparation. After addition of the antibody, the solution is gently mixed and incubated at about 4°C for about 16 to about 20 hours. At the completion of the incubation, the labeled antibodies are washed with phosphate-buffered saline, and the sensitivity and specificity of the labeled antibody preparation are tested.

The sensitivity and specificity of the labeled antibodies are tested by coating a substrate with a preselected amount of toxin. When contacted with the

labeled antibody, the labeled antibody binds to the toxin, resulting in the development of the desired color on the substrate. The color which develops will not be washed off by rinsing in a solution such as phosphate-buffered saline. Binding of the antibody to the toxin results in the development of color for the bar code pattern forming a bar code detector system named by the owner of this invention the SIRA BART™ system. In effect, the labeled antibodies act as a type of "ink" so the bar code pattern can be visualized.

In use with raw meat products, the bar code detector system is exposed to juices from the meat. The juices collect in the collector and come in contact with the bar code detector system. If a toxin is present in the juices, the antibodies will release from the bar code pattern and bind to the toxins present in the juices, thus altering or destroying the bar code pattern. Such antibody type assays are in and of themselves well known in the art and are referred to as competitive assays. A consumer can detect the presence of the toxin in the food product by a visual inspection of the bar code. If the consumer does not notice the alteration of the bar code, it is detected by bar code reader 24 at the checkout counter (FIG. 5) because store computer is configured to emit an alarm to warn that a altered bar code has been detected. The contaminated products can then be replaced with non-contaminated products.

A labeled antibody is one means of indicating the presence of a toxin or other contaminant in the juices of a food product. Those skilled in the art will be aware of other indicators such as chemical indicators, which are useful in the practice of the present invention. Instead of destroying the bar code, the bar code could be altered in some other way, e.g., by change of color, depending on the nature of the indicating system. In general, the alteration of the bar code is detectable by the bar code reader so contamination of products can be automatically

determined by the electronics. Thus, the invention presents a format or vehicle to utilize existing toxin or contaminant indicating systems more effectively. The owner of this invention has named this format FOOD SENTINAL™, a contaminant interdiction system.

The bar code reader can also be used to indicate whether packaged products are in satisfactory condition at the time they left the supplier. If contaminated products are detected in the processing stream, the supplier can find out the source of contamination and implement remedial steps to ensure that the source of contamination is eliminated.

The same toxin could be used for all the bars of the bar code or one or more toxins could be used for different bars. In this way a number of contaminants or toxins, that are commonly associated with a particular food, can be detected by a single bar code. The bar code would not only indicate that the food was contaminated but would also indicate the type of contamination.

In another embodiment of the present invention shown in FIGs. 6-10, the contamination indicator is incorporated in a bar code having two components--one component inside the package and another component outside the package. A substrate 28 is attached to the bottom of a liner 30 having an absorbent portion 30a aligned with substrate 28. Portion 30a of liner 30 is an absorbent material that draws juices and other fluids away from the meat to the surface of substrate 28. Substrate 28 is preferably pervious to the juices of the food product, but it does not need to be transparent. The position of substrate 28 on liner 30 is precisely set. As illustrated in FIGs. 7 and 8, one component of the bar code comprises visible indicator elements 27 and 29 printed on the exposed surface of substrate 28. Indicator elements 27 and 29 may include a bar, a symbol, letters, or a combination thereof. In the illustrated embodiment indicator element 27 comprises a bar, given the trademark SIRA BART™ by the

owner of this invention, and indicator element 29 comprises the word "NOT". Indicator elements 27 and 29 are printed on substrate 28 using labeled antibodies as "ink", as described above.

5 In this embodiment, the bottom of styrofoam tray 10 has a window 21 formed by a transparent sheet of material such as MYLAR® (a trademark of DuPont) using a suitable adhesive to seal the MYLAR to the styrofoam material. The liner and tray are designed so the liner can be precisely
10 positioned in the bottom of the tray. For example, liner 30 could be dimensioned so that when it is placed in tray 10 it fills the bottom of the tray with substrate 28 in register with window 21. In this way, the close fit between liner 30 and tray 10 serves to insure that
15 indicator elements 27 are precisely positioned with respect to the second component of the bar code, which is placed on the exterior of the bottom of tray 10 and wrap material 14. Alternatively, ridges (not shown) could be molded into the inside bottom surface of tray 10 to
20 position liner 30 precisely and hold it in place.

As illustrated in FIG. 9, the second component of the bar code detector system comprises a word 35 and a plurality of bars 31 printed on an opaque substrate 32 with ordinary ink and cut out sections 33 and 34 die cut
25 from substrate 32. Section 33 is smaller than bar indicator element 27. Section 34 is larger than word indicator element 29. Bars 31 perform the normal product identifying function of a bar code, i.e., they represent price, unit price, type of product, origin, and weight or
30 quantity. Substrate 32 has the same dimensions as window 21 and is placed on the outside of wrap material 14 so substrate 32 coincides with window 21. As a result, the position of substrate 32 is precisely set relative to substrate 28 so that indicator elements 27 and 29 are
35 aligned with cut outs 33 and 34, respectively, and are normally visible from outside the package. Indicator element 27 completely fills cut out section 33 and

indicator element 29 fits totally within cut out section 34. In the illustrated embodiment, word 35 is "CONTAMINATED".

When substrates 28 and 32 are aligned, the first and
5 second components fit together to form the bar code. As illustrated in FIG. 10A, the words "NOT CONTAMINATED" are visible from the exterior of the package and indicator element 27 and bars 31 can be read by a bar code reader when no contaminants are present in the food juices inside
10 the package. When contaminants are present, the labeled antibodies from which indicator elements 27 and 29 are formed react with the toxin and are removed from the substrate 28. As illustrated in FIG. 10B, this leaves only word 35 and bars 31 visible. In the absence of
15 element 27, the bar code reader senses that the bar code is "defective" and in the absence of element 29 humans can visually observe that the contents of the package is "CONTAMINATED".

Since it is desirable to detect different toxins in
20 different food products, indicator element 27 could be placed in different locations on substrate 28 depending upon the toxin to be detected and cut out 33 could also be placed in different locations on substrate 32 depending upon the toxin to be detected so it is aligned with the
25 locations on substrate 28.

The described two component bar code detector system can be used to great advantage with the conventional bar code applicator machines used to mark food products in super-markets. Such machines have a conveyor on which
30 wrapped food packages are transported past a weighing station and a bar code label application station into a temporary storage bin. At the label application station a label carrier roll is feed past a printer where the product information is printed on the bar code labels
35 (substrate 32) and under a blade where the bar code labels are released from the carrier and picked up by one or more robot arms for delivery to the packages. A worker punches

a product identification code into a key pad. A controller calculates from the product identification code and from the weight the product information to be printed on the label such as price, weight, unit price, and historical data, i.e., origin, and controls the printer to print the bar code pattern and alphanumeric characters on the labels. The controller coordinates, i.e., times, the operation so the labels are applied to the proper packages.

10 A preferred method will now be described for using the two component bar code with a modification of the conventional bar code applicator machines used to mark food products such as meat, poultry, or fish, in supermarkets. In a central processing plant, indicator elements 27 and 29 are printed on substrates 28 with a labeled antibody or other contaminant detector as ink; then substrates 28 are mounted on liners 30 in a precise relative position and packed in shipping cartons. Liners are so prepared in separate cartons for each of a number of different toxins or contaminants and tray sizes. The cartons are shipped to the supermarkets or packaging facility where the food products are packaged in trays, wrapped, and bar code labeled with the bar code applicator machine. The packaging operation takes place in the following order--

1. For each different toxin or contaminant, one of the corresponding liners is placed in a tray sized for the particular liner.

2. The food product is placed in the tray.

30 3. The food product and tray are covered with the wrap material.

4. The package is placed in a bar code applicator machine and the product identification code is entered through the keyboard.

35 5. The package is weighed in the machine and transported by the conveyor to the label application station.

6. The bar code applicator machine is modified to incorporate a label cutting die or die set in the path of the carrier between the roll and the printer. The die is adjustable in position and its position is set by the controller depending upon the particular product identification code. Each time a bar code label passes the die, the die is actuated by the controller to form the die cut sections (33 and 34 in FIG. 9).

7. The printer is operated by the controller to print words 30 and bars 31 on the bar code labels with ordinary ink.

8. The bar code labels are applied by the machine to a precise location on the outside of the packages in alignment with substrates 28 (FIG. 6).

15 In summary, the first component of the bar code, which requires tight manufacturing controls, is produced at a central processing plant. At the supermarket, workers without any special skill can reliably incorporate the first component into food product packages and add the second component of the bar code in the usual way, i.e. with a bar code applicator machine, or manually. The only special training for the workers at the supermarket is the proper selection and placement of the liner (30 in FIG. 6). If a worker makes a mistake in selection or placement of a liner, bar 27 is not aligned with cutout 34 and the bar code reader senses the mistake. This provides a check to ensure that the correct toxin detecting bar has been used with the correct food product.

Substrate 32 is preferably opaque and white, or at least light in color to create a strong contrast with the bar codes, which are preferably printed in a dark color. For this reason cutouts 33 and 34 are required so substrate 32 does not hide visual elements 27 and 29 of substrate 28. If sufficient contrast is otherwise available, substrate 32 can be transparent and the cutouts can be eliminated.

Instead of placing indicator element 27 and cutout 33 in different locations depending upon the contaminant to be detected, the location could remain fixed regardless of the type of contaminant and a visible symbol could be printed on substrate 32 near indicator element 27. For example, the letter "S" could be used for Salmonella, "E" could be used for E. coli, and "L" could be used for Listeria. This avoids having to incorporate an adjustable label cutting die in the bar code applicator machine.

In the embodiment of FIG. 11, the contamination indicator is also incorporated in a bar code detector system having two components--one component inside the package and another component outside the package. One component comprises a transparent bag 37 constructed from a bottom panel 36 and a top panel 38. Bag 37 is placed over hole 18 and the bottom panel 36 is secured to tray 10 by adhesive to seal hole 18 and form a window. Bottom panel 36 is fabricated from a substrate that is impervious to the food juices. A first antibody against the toxin of interest is bound to an area of the interior surface of bottom panel 36 identical in size and shape to or larger than hole 18. Top panel 38 is fabricated from a semipermeable membrane. The top and bottom panels are sealed together at their edges by use of an adhesive or other suitable method such as heat, to form a sealed bag, i.e., bag 37. Prior to sealing the bag a solution including a labeled second antibody against the toxin of interest is introduced into the bag. Although the first and second antibodies could be the same, they are preferably different. Thus, the second antibody preferably recognizes different antigenic determinants on the toxin than the first antibody. The second antibody is labeled with an indicator such as a colored latex bead so that the resultant labeled antibody is of a large size. The labeled antibody, present in the solution, is at a dilute concentration so that light will readily pass

through the solution and so that little or no color is discernable.

The semipermeable membrane has a pore size which is large enough to allow the toxin of interest to enter the bag, but which is small enough to prevent the labeled antibody from leaving the bag. Such membranes are well known in the art and are commercially available in a variety of pore sizes. The pore size of the semipermeable panel is selected so that the toxin of interest will pass through the semipermeable panel to the interior of the bag.

When a toxin is present in the juices of a meat product packed in the tray, the toxin passes into the bag through semipermeable panel 38 and binds to antibodies bound to panel 36. The toxin also binds to the labeled second antibody present in the solution in the bag. As a result, panel 36 becomes colored by the sandwich assay of the first antibody, the toxin, and the labeled second antibody, thereby indicating the presence of a toxin in the juices.

The second component comprises bar code 16 printed on substrate 20, which is a transparent material such as MYLAR®. Substrate 20 is placed over hole 18 on the exterior of the met tray, and preferably outside wrap material 14. When a toxin is not present in the juices panel 36 remains clear and the bar code can be easily read against the clear background. When a toxin is present in the juices, the toxin binds to panel 36 and to the labeled antibody such that the substrate background becomes densely colored. In a preferred embodiment the color of the beads used is black and the uncolored background is white or clear. The dense color of the first component prevents the bar code of the second component from being distinguished from the background by the bar code reader. This effectively obliterates or changes the bar code and indicates that the food contained in the package is contaminated.

A variation of the two component bar code detector system of FIG. 11 is partially illustrated in FIG. 12. Panel 36 is secured to the underside of liner 30 using an adhesive or other suitable means of attachment. The portion 30 (not shown) of liner 30 covered by panel 36 is an absorbent material that draws juices and other fluids away from the meat to the surface of semipermeable panel 38 and serves to align bag 37 with hole 18, in the manner described in connection with FIG. 6. The remainder of liner 30 is impervious to food juices. The juices pass through the semipermeable panel 38 and into the interior of bag 37. On the interior of surface of panel 36 antibodies are attached as described above. The antibodies are attached to a rectangular area 39 on the inside surface of panel 36 such that when the liner is placed in the food tray rectangular area 39 aligns with hole 18. Substrate 20 is attached to the outer surface of tray 10 after tray 10 has been covered with wrap material 14. A bar code is printed on substrate 20 by the bar code applicator machine. The presence of toxins are then detected as described above.

In another embodiment of the invention shown in FIGS. 13 and 14, a symbol such as a colored dot 42 is printed on a square porous substrate 43. Substrate 43 is designed to be attached to the surface of a beef carcass or other bulk food product to determine if the carcass is contaminated. The "ink" used to print the dot is labeled antibodies attached to toxin as described above. An opaque holder strip 44 covers and secures substrate 43 in place. Substrate 43 and hold strip 44 comprise flexible material, which fits the contour of the carcass and keeps substrate 43 in contact with the surface of the carcass. A circular hole 47 is cut in the holder strip in alignment with substrate 43, so that when the substrate and holder strip are attached to the carcass, colored dot 42 is visible. If toxins are present in the meat of the carcass, the antibody becomes unbound from the surface of substrate 43

and the dot disappears to indicate the presence of toxins in the carcass. Holder strip 44 is attached to the carcass by use of stainless steel staples 46. The holder strip may also be used to display other identifying information, such as a bar code 48 and printed matter 50. Bar code 48 and printed matter 50 could be printed with ordinary ink.

A further embodiment of the bar code detector system is depicted in Figures 15 and 16. Figures 15 and 16 illustrate a two bar code food contamination detector system given the trademark GILBAR™ by the owner of their invention. The GILBAR™ has a product identification bar code 52 and a contamination detecting bar code 54. Additionally the GILBAR™ has a contamination indicator area 56 which is outlined in Figure 15 by black lines for ease in visualizing the invention; however, in practice, these lines are not present so there is no interference with a bar code scanner or reader's ability to recognize product identification bar code 52.

The GILBAR™ food contamination system alerts consumers and anyone involved at any stage in food distribution using bar code scanners or readers that a food item is contaminated as follows. In Figure 15 the GILBAR™ has not detected contamination. Product identification bar code 52 will be read by a bar code scanner or reader, whereas contamination detecting bar code 54 will not be read. As shown in Figure 16, when the food item becomes contaminated, the contamination indicator area 56 will change color obliterating a portion of product identification bar code 52 and adding an additional bar to contamination detecting bar code 54. Consequently, when the food product is contaminated, product identification bar code 52 cannot be read by a scanner or reader, whereas contamination detecting bar code 56 will be read. Typically, data from bar code scanners is transmitted to a computer or some centralized data collection for accounting, regulatory compliance of

other reasons. The ability to detect contaminated food products by bar code scanning creates an electronic record of whether or not a food product was contaminated from the point of sale to the consumer back through the chain of
5 distribution.

This system is particularly advantageous to alert consumers in a grocery store or supermarket environment which typically uses fixed bar code scanners. Fixed bar code scanners which are often mounted in checkout counters
10 generate a plurality of scanning lines in three dimensional space which is often referred to as the "sweet spot." Supermarket or grocery store checkout clerks typically orient the bar code so it faces the scanner and sweep the product over the scanner window. This method
15 allows for rapid identification and pricing of products. Occasionally, when a bar code is not read the checkout clerk will type in the product identification code to allow identification and pricing of the product with the unreadable bar code. With the GILBAR™, when the product
20 identification code is readable the contamination detecting bar code is not readable, and vice versa. Thus, when the food item is contaminated the product identification bar code will not be read, the contamination detecting bar code will be read, the
25 consumer will be warned. This warning could be an alarm or a warning that would appear on the checkout register display such as the words "adulterated", "contaminated", "spoiled", or other such terms.

The product identification numbers may or may not be
30 present. If they are present, the indicator area 56 of the GILBAR™ would obliterate part of the product identification code rendering it incomplete to avoid the accidental checkout of contaminated product. Additionally, the GILBAR™ indicator area 56 could include a
35 number which appears upon contamination to complete a numeric code corresponding to the data coded by contamination detecting bar code 54. With these

additional elements, a checkout clerk could not inadvertently price contaminated product when bar code 54 is not recognized or read by the scanner.

The GILBAR™ is based upon the same principles
5 previously described herein. Instead of bars appearing in indicator area 56 the bars could disappear when a toxin is present. In this case one or more bars would disappear from product identification bar code 52 rendering the bar code unreadable while an additional bar or other element
10 would disappear from the contamination bar code area of indicator area 56 rendering the contamination bar code 54 readable. Similarly, one or more digits of the optional pricing code could disappear from the bar code label rendering the code for product identification useless.
15 Meanwhile, an extra number or a colored background in the indicator area where the contamination numeric code is located could disappear exposing the numeric contamination code.

The GILBAR™ can be used inside the package as
20 described herein with reference to FIGS 1-5 with bars appearing or disappearing using antibodies labeled with colored latex beads for the bar code "ink" on a substrate 20. Alternatively, the GILBAR™ can be employed with a portion of the GILBAR™ inside the package and a portion
25 outside the package as described herein with reference to FIGS 6-10. In the inside/outside arrangement the bar code elements found in the indicator area 56 are located on substrate 28 with the remaining elements of bar codes 52 and 54 aligned on the outside of the package with the
30 interior indicator bars. In another embodiment, the indicator area 56 and the contamination detecting bar code 54 are located inside the package while the product identification bar code is located on the outside of the package. This arrangement enhances the ability to track
35 the source of contamination and still allows the processor, distributor and retailer to change the product identification bar code.

The present invention is not to be limited to the specific embodiments shown which are merely illustrative. Various and numerous other embodiments may be devised by one skilled in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of this invention. For example, with respect to the embodiments of the present invention illustrated in FIGS. 6-12, while the invention is described for use with antibodies against a single toxin, mixtures of antibodies, against a number of different toxins could be used. With the use of different antibodies, multiple, different toxins which could be present in the meat sample can be detected. Also, while the invention is described primarily in relation to obliterating a bar code, the antibody bound to the substrate could also be in the form of a symbol or wording which appears, or disappears depending on the type of antibody-toxin "assay" used. Such a symbol or wording could be read without the aid of a bar code reader. Also while some embodiments are described in conjunction with a liner, these bar codes could also be used in the absence of a liner. Similarly, embodiments described without a liner could be used in conjunction with a liner. The scope of the invention is defined in the following claims.

CLAIMS

1. A food contamination detector for use with a food container (10) adapted for containing a food product which produces juices characterized by
 - 5 a first bar code (52) coded to identify a food product by a bar code reader;
 - a second bar code (54) coded to identify contaminated food by a bar code reader;
 - an indicator (16) printed onto a substrate
 - 10 (20) wherein the indicator is in communication with the juices from the food; and
 - means for changing the color of the indicator (16) when a toxin is present in the juices from the food; wherein the change in the color of the indicator
 - 15 (27) causes the first bar code to be unreadable by a bar code reader and causes the second bar code to become readable by a bar code reader;
2. A food contamination detector as recited in claim 1
 - 20 wherein the indicator (16) comprises an antibody attached to the substrate (20) .
3. A food contamination detector as recited in claim 1 wherein the means for changing the color of the indicator (16) comprises a labeled antibody which binds
 - 25 to the substrate (20) in the presence of the toxin.
4. A food contamination detector as recited in claim 3 wherein the label comprises a colored latex bead.
5. A food contamination detector as recited in
 - 30 claim 1 wherein the means for changing the color of the indicator (16) comprises a label attached to the antibody such that the antibody dissociates from the substrate (20) in the presence of the toxin.

6. A food contamination detector as recited in claim 7 wherein the label comprises a colored latex bead.

7. A food contamination detector for use with a food container (10) adapted for containing food which produces juices characterized by an indicator in communication with the juices from the food; and means for changing the color of the indicator when a toxin is present in the juices from the food; and in which the indicator comprises:
a first antibody attached to a substrate; and a solution in contact with the first antibody attached to the transparent substrate (36), wherein the solution contains a labeled second antibody and where the second antibody becomes bound to the substrate rendering the substrate opaque in the presence of a toxin in the juices from the food; and
a first bar code (52) coded to identify a food product aligned with the substrate so that the change in the light reflectivity of the substrate renders the first bar code unreadable;
a second bar code (54) coded to identify contaminated food aligned with the substrate so that the change in the light reflectivity of the substrate renders the second bar code readable.

8. A food contamination detector as recited in claim 7 wherein the solution comprising the second antibody is enclosed in a compartment (37).

9. A food contamination detector as recited in claim 8 wherein the compartment (37) comprises a semipermeable membrane.

10. A food contamination detector as recited in claim 7 wherein the first antibody recognizes an antigenic determinant on the toxin which is different from the antigenic determinant on the toxin recognized
5 by the second antibody.

11. A food product package having a tray (10) in which a food product (12) which generates juices lies and is covered by a transparent wrap (14) characterized by

10 a food contamination information component disposed inside the wrap so can be detected from the exterior of the package, wherein the contamination component is comprised by a substrate containing antibodies; and

15 a product information component disposed outside the wrap, wherein the product information component is comprised of a bar code symbol (52) aligned with the substrate so that when food contamination is detected the substrate becomes opaque rendering the
20 product information component unreadable; and

a contamination information component disposed outside the wrap, wherein the contamination information component is comprised of a bar code symbol (54) aligned with the substrate so that when food contamination is
25 detected the substrate becomes opaque rendering the product information component readable.

12. A method of detecting contamination of a food (12) that creates food juices in a food container (10) comprising:

30 placing an indicator comprising antibodies attached to a substrate in contact with the juices in the tray, the indicator being selected is that its appearance changes in the presence of a toxin; and

sensing the appearance of the indicator to
35 determine if the food is contaminated;

characterized by utilizing, with the indicator, a first bar code (52) for which the change in appearance of the indicator in the presence of a toxin makes the first bar code (52) unreadable by a bar code reader (24); and a
5 second bar code (54) for which the change in appearance of the indicator in the presence of a toxin makes the second bar code (54) readable by a bar code reader (24).

Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 6A





Fig. 7






Fig. 8




Fig. 9




Fig. 10A

Fig. 10B




Fig. 11




Fig. 12




Fig. 13




Fig. 14




FIG. 15





FIG. 16



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US97/17160

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : G01N 33/12

US CL : 436/1; 426/232

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 422/56,58,61; 436/1; 116/206

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched
none

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
APS

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5,306,466 A (GOLDSMITH) 26 APRIL 1994,col. 1-4	1-12

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T	Inter document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X*	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
B earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y*	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another extension or other special reason (as specified)	*A*	document member of the same patent family
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search

05 JANUARY 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

03 FEB 1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

LYLE A. ALEXANDER

Telephone No. (703) 306-3893